ALTERNATIVE	BLM	BIA
No-Action	Continue to manage oil and gas leasing/ development pursuant to 2003 Farmington RMP.	Continue to manage oil and gas leasing/ development under "present management direction from practices contained in existing laws, regulations, policies, and standards."
Alt. A—Emphasis on Protecting Wildlife/Natural Habitats & Land- scapes	 Prioritizes natural/nonrenewable resource programs "by sustaining relatively unmodified physical landscapes and natural ecosystems." All LWCs managed to protect wilderness values. 	 Would establish greatest number of protections for natural values. "Appropriate and allowable uses would focus on minimizing impacts on natural resources."
Alt. B—Emphasis on Protecting Chacoan/Cultural Landscapes	 All LWCs managed to protect wilderness values. Protections of natural/cultural landscapes, including viewsheds/soundscapes, would be emphasized. Incorporates measures from 2010/15 MLP proposals, but BLM will not prepare an MLP. 	 Would include viewshed/soundscape protections. "Appropriate and allowable uses and restrictions would emphasize minimizing and mitigating impacts on natural, social, and cultural resources"
Alt. C—Emphasis on Harmonizing Development w/ Local Perspec- tives & Tradition- al Lifeways	 Emphasizes tribal/local perspectives "and prioritizes resource development while minimizing impacts on the traditional, historic, socioeconomic, and cultural lifeways of the planning area." Manage some LWCs to protect wilderness values. 	Would prioritize management "with the fewest impacts on human communities from oil and gas development, such as increased truck traffic and crime or decreased human health, air, and water quality."
Alt. D—Emphasis on Maximizing Development	 Promotes energy development. No LWCs managed to protect wilderness values. "BLM would accept that there could be adverse effects on historic properties associated with the Chacoan landscape that may or may not be mitigated under Section 106 of the [NHPA]." 	Would maximize "resource production and royalty income for the landowners—both Navajo Nation and Individual Indian land- owners—while minimizing and mitigating impacts in surrounding communities."