

This table replaces Table 2.38 in *Tonto Creek Archaeological Project Artifact and Environmental Analyses: A Tonto Basin Perspective on Ceramic Economy*, edited by J. M. Vint and J. M. Heidke (Anthropological Papers No 23. Vol. 1. Center for Desert Archaeology, Tucson.). The original table was calculated erroneously using both decorated body and rim sherds and only utilitarian rim sherds, thereby falsely increasing the frequency and percentage of decorated types. The new table uses only rim sherds of utilitarian and decorated types as intended. Note that the percentages thus conform to those discussed in Chapter 1 and presented in Table 1.3. The patterns discussed and conclusions drawn in Chapter 2 are not altered by these changes.

Table 2.38 (corrected). Frequency of wares by period.

Ware	Colonial				Sedentary				Early Classic				Total	
	No.	Row %	Col %	a.s.r. ^a	No.	Row %	Col %	a.s.r.	No.	Row %	Col %	a.s.r.	No.	Col %
Hohokam Red-on-buff	17	26.6	6.1	2.4	46	71.9	8.8	7.6	1 ^b	1.6	.1	-8.7	64	3.6
Tusayan White Ware	9	64.3	3.2	5.0	5	35.7	1.0	.5	0	0	0	-4.1	14	.8
Little Colorado White Ware	0	0	0	-1.5	3	25.0	.6	-3	9	75.0	.9	1.4	12	.7
Cibola White Ware	0	0	0	-2.7	11	29.7	2.1	0	26	70.3	2.7	1.9	37	2.1
Salado Polychromes	0	0	0	-2.1	0	0	0	-3.1	23	100.0	2.4	4.4	23	1.3
White Mountain Red Ware	0	0	0	-1.1	0	0	0	-1.6	6	100.0	.6	2.2	6	.3
Mogollon Brown Ware	7	26.9	2.5	1.6	17	65.4	3.3	4.0	2	7.7	.2	-4.8	26	1.5
Plain Ware	245	31.1	87.8	15.8	433	54.9	83.3	21.0	111	14.1	11.5	-30.8	789	44.8
Red Ware	0	0	0	-6.0	1	.6	.2	-8.8	173	99.4	17.9	12.5	174	9.9
Brown (Tonto) Corrugated	1	1.3	.4	-3.5	1	1.3	.2	-5.5	74	97.4	7.7	7.6	76	4.3
Salado Red Corrugated	0	0	0	-12.1	3 ^c	.6	.6	-17.8	539	99.4	55.9	25.2	542	30.7
Total	279	15.8			520	29.5			964	54.7			1763	100.0

^aa.s.r. = adjusted standardized residual. Screened data indicate significant value. Values greater than ± 1.96 are significantly more or fewer than expected.

^bPre-Classic period sherds introduced by slight mixing of deposits.

^cClassic Period sherds introduced by slight mixing of deposits.

Pearson chi-square 1324.35, df 20, $p = .000$; 9 cells (27.3%) are sparse